

Literatur

- 1 Solheim O, Salvesen Ø, Cappelen J, Johannesen TB. The impact of provider surgical volumes on survival in children with primary tumors of the central nervous system—a population-based study. *Acta Neurochir.* 2011;153(6):1219–29.
- 2 Chumas P, Kenny T, Stiller C. Subspecialisation in neurosurgery—does size matter? Comment in *Acta Neurochir.* 2011;153(6):1237–43; author reply 1245.
- 3 Solheim O, Cappelen J. Bigger is bigger. Better is better. *Acta Neurochir.* 2011;153(6):1237–43; author reply 1245.
- 4 Khuri SF, Henderson WG. The case against volume as a measure of quality of surgical care. *World J Surg.* 2005;29(10):1222–9.
- 5 Danjoux CE, Jenkin RD, McLaughlin J, Grimard L, Gaspar LE, Dar AR, et al. Childhood medulloblastoma in Ontario, 1977-1987: population-based results. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 1996;26(1):1–9.
- 6 Luft HS, Bunker JP, Enthoven AC. Should operations be regionalized? The empirical relation between surgical volume and mortality. *N Engl J Med.* 1979;301(25):1364–9.
- 7 Kelly JV, Hellinger FJ. Physician and hospital factors associated with mortality of surgical patients. *Med Care.* 1986;24(9):785–800.
- 8 Funk LM, Gawande AA, Semel ME, Lipsitz SR, Berry WR, Zinner MJ, Jha AK. Esophagectomy outcomes at low-volume hospitals: the association between systems characteristics and mortality. *Ann Surg.* 2011;253(5):912–7.
- 9 Gruen RL, Pitt V, Green S, Parkhill A, Campbell D, Jolley D. The effect of provider case volume on cancer mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2009;59(3):192–211.
- 10 Dasenbrock HH, Clarke MJ, Witham TF, Sciubba DM, Gokaslan ZL, Bydon A. The impact of provider volume on the outcomes after surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis. *Neurosurgery.* 2012; 70(6):1346–54.
- 11 Albright AL, Spoto R, Holmes E, Zeltzer PM, Finlay JL, Wisoff JH, et al. Correlation of neurosurgical subspecialization with outcomes in children with malignant brain tumors. *Neurosurgery.* 2000;47(4):879–85.